26

YI LIN ZHENG, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 10811 VEGAS GOLDEN LAW 500 Rose Street Las Vegas, NV 89106 Phone (702) 385-7170 Email: vegasgoldenlaw@gmail.com Attorney for Defendant- Tori Mangum

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TORI MANGUM,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cr-50-APG-MDC

STIPULATION TO CONTINUE SENTENCING

(First Request)

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Jason Frierson, United States Attorney, and David Kiebler, Assistant United States Attorney, counsel for the United States of America, and Yi Lin Zheng, counsel for Tori Mangum, that the sentencing set for October 30, 2024, be vacated and set to a date and time convenient to this Court, but no sooner than forty-five (45) days.

The Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:

- 1. Defense counsel needs additional time to edit a sentencing mitigation memo, draft objections to the PSR, and prepare a sentencing memo.
 - 2. The defendant does not object to the continuance.
 - 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.

Case 2:22-cr-00050-APG-MDC Document 67 Filed 10/22/24 Page 2 of 4

This is the first stipulation to continue filed herein. DATED: October 22, 2024. By <u>/s/ Yi Lin Zheng</u> Yi Lin Zheng Counsel for Tori Mangum JASON FRIERSON United States Attorney By /s/ David Kiebler David Kiebler Assistant United States Attorney

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

TORI MANGUM,

Defendant.

Case No. 2:22-cr-50-APG-MDC

FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the pending Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court finds that:

- 1. Defense counsel needs additional time to edit a sentencing mitigation memo, draft objections to the PSR, and prepare a sentencing memo.
- 2. The defendant does not object to the continuance.
- 3. The parties agree to the continuance.
- 4. The additional time requested herein is not sought for purposes of delay, but merely to allow counsel for defendant sufficient time within which to be able to effectively and complete investigation of the discovery materials provided.
- 5. Additionally, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. The additional time requested by this Stipulation is excludable in computing the time within which the trial herein must commence pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(A), considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The ends of justice served by granting said continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial, since the failure to grant said continuance would be likely to result in a miscarriage of justice, would deny the parties herein sufficient time and the opportunity within which to be able to effectively and thoroughly prepare for trial, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.

The continuance sought herein is excludable under the Speedy Trial Act, Title 18, United States Code, Section § 3161 (h)(7)(A), when the considering the factors under Title 18, United States Code, § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

ORDER

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that sentencing is continued to: January 8, 2025 at 1:30 p.m. in LV Courtroom 6C.

DATED: October 22, 2024.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE